UC Davis

Dermatology Online Journal

Title

Ruta graveolens phytophotodermatitis

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6rt939kz

Journal

Dermatology Online Journal, 27(7)

Authors

Avallone, Gianluca Mastorino, Luca Agostini, Andrea et al.

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.5070/D327754382

Copyright Information

Copyright 2021 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Ruta graveolens phytophotodermatitis

Gianluca Avallone*, Luca Mastorino*, Andrea Agostini, Martina Merli, Niccolò Siliquini, Marco Rubatto, Maria Teresa Fierro, Simone Ribero*, Pietro Quaglino*

Affiliations: Dermatology Clinic, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

*Authors contributed equally

#Shared senior authors

Corresponding Author: Simone Ribero, Department of Medical Sciences, Section of Dermatology, University of Turin, IT-10126 Turin, Italy, E-mail: simone.ribero@unito.it

Keywords: photodermatitis, phototoxicity, phytophotodermatitis, Rutaceae, Ruta graveolens

To the Editor:

Ruta graveolens, also called common Rue, is a popular decorative plant with extensive world distribution. Since ancient times, its leaves, petals, and extracts have been used for multiple purposes, anti-inflammatory, given analgesic, its antiandrogenic, antihyperlipidemic, antihyperglycemic properties [1]. All Rutaceae plants contain psoralens and furanocoumarins, such as 5methoxypsoralen and 8-methoxypsoralen, which cause phototoxic eruptions when activated by sun exposure of unprotected skin. If absorbed by ultraviolet A radiation, 5-methoxypsoralen forms reactive oxygen species that forms adducts with and damage epidermal, dermal, and endothelial cells. This explains why a burn injury may be observed [2] because of the phytophototoxic reactions [3,4].

An otherwise healthy 76-year-old man presented to the emergency department (ED), reporting a vesicular-bullous rash and erythema of both hands and wrists. He was a retired man with the hobby of gardening. He also reported several past episodes of itching, reddening, and stinging hands and forearms. According to the patient, these symptoms used to recur every year during the summertime and had been previously treated with topical corticosteroids and oral antihistamine. Previous prick and patch tests were negative. Four days before the arrival to our ED, the patient applied some branches of *Ruta*

graveolens (**Figure 1**) over the affected areas as treatment of the above-mentioned symptoms. A rapid worsening of the clinical picture was reported after sunlight exposition of the areas. Clinical examination revealed vesicular-bullous lesions with serous content, crusts, and some impetigous areas of the hands and wrists. The lesions were superimposed on an erythematous and edematous background. A clear dividing line between the photoexposed and non-photoexposed areas could



Figure 1. Appearance of Ruta graveolens.

be observed. The fingers of both hands were spared (**Figure 2**). A similar clinical appearance was observable in the right temporal area. Arms were itching and stinging and flexion-extension movements were difficult. A diagnosis of phytophotodermatitis induced by *Ruta graveolens* application was made. The origin of the previous



Figure 2. A) Swelling, vesicular-bullous rash and erythema on hands and wrists. **B)** Erythematous and vesicular lesions on the back of the right hand associated with serous bullae and swelling

recurrent dermatitis is not well defined and different diagnoses can be considered: contact dermatitis, xerosis, entomodermatosis or another manifestation of phytophotodermatitis. An oral treatment with tapering corticosteroid therapy, cetirizine, and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid was prescribed to the patient. Topical fusidic acid and betamethasone cream were recommended as well.

Herein, we report the improper use of *Ruta* graveolens as a treatment of a pre-existing skin affection, which may also have related to inadvertant contact with the same plant. Furthermore, the ability to recognize different species of plants, especially those that can cause phytophotodermatitis or allergic contact dermatitis is a relevant asset for the clinician.

Potential conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- L. Watson and M. J. Dallwitz (1992 onwards). The Families of Flowering Plants: Descriptions, Illustrations, Identification, and Information Retrieval. Australia: Version: 14th December 2000. [PMID: 28086860].
- 2. Rodotra I, Gardiner S, Barnes D. A Phytophototoxic Injury at a Burns Unit: The Ungraceful After-Effects of the "Common Rue" Plant. *J Burn Care Res.* 2018;39:1064-1066 [PMID: 29931352].
- Asgarpanah J, Khoshkam R. Phytochemistry and pharmacological properties of Ruta graveolens L. J Med Plant Res. 2012;6:3942-3949.
- Wessner D, Hofmann H, Ring J. Phytophotodermatitis due to Ruta graveolens applied as protection against evil spells. *Contact Derm.* 1999;41:232. [PMID: 10515113].